## 11.8 Advaitācāryas of the 12th and 13th Centuries

In the history of the development of the various Advaitic Schools, the period which witnessed the rise of Viśiṣṭādvaita and Dvaita is of importance, because it shows the state of the Advaita philosophy and the criticisms provoked by it. The two main schools of Advaita-Vivaraṇa prasthāna and Bhāmati prasthāna had already secured adherents throughout India, Vācaspati had been criticised by the Prakaṭārthakāra as a follower of Maṇḍana who had criticised Śaṅkara. Therefore it is important that we should note the relative chronological position of the various outstanding authors after Śaṅkara.

Sankara, as I have pointed out elsewhere, cannot be placed later than 620 A.D. since he is later than Dinnaga and far earlier than Bhavabhūti-Śrīkantha (720 A.D.) and was the older contemporary of Dharmakirti. Mr. Kunhan Raja has doubted the testimony of I-tsing as to the date of Bhartrhari and gives evidence to show that Bhartrhari must be assigned to an earlier date probably the 5th century.2 Surēśvara, the disciple of Sankara is earlier than Pātrakeśari Vidyānanda, the disciple of Akalanka (c. 600 A.D.). Sarvajñātman can no longer be assumed to be the disciple of Surēśvara, as he mentions Dēvēśvara, Dēvānanda and Śresthānanda as his guru, parama guru and parātpara guru.1. Sarvajnātman is later than Vimuktātman, the author of Istasiddhi, who was later than Bhāskara. Bhāskarīya Vēdāntins are mentioned by Prabhācandra, 6 who is a pupil of Akalanka and Vidyānanda. Therefore Bhāskara cannot be placed later than the middle of the 7th century. Moreover Santiraksita and his disciple Kamalasila (740 A.D.) criticise

```
1 QIMS., 1930. Proc. VIII. Or. Confce. 1935, p. 562.
```

<sup>2</sup> S. K. Iyengar Comm. Vol. 3 An. Bh. Or. Inst., 1931.

<sup>4</sup> Pramāṇa Lakṣaṇa, JOR., 1937; Mad. Uni. Journal, 1937.

<sup>5</sup> Istasiddhi, GOS., p. 375. 6 Pramēya Kamala Mārtanda.

I.H.Q., JUNE, 1938

the Advaita doctrine' and Ubēyaka is criticised by Kamalaśila and Vimuktātman.

Then comes another great figure, Vācaspati (841 A.D.), who is followed by the Prakațārthakāra. The Pancapādikā-vivarana was written by Prakāśātman, known also as Svayam prakāśānubhava, a disciple of Ananyānubhava, Prakāśātman implies that Ananyānubhava wrote on Atma Sambuddhi probably referring to the work Ātmatattva mentioned by Jñanaghana. Jñanaghana was the disciple of Bodhaghana and wrote his Tattvasuddhi on the basis of 'ananyānubhavānandādvītiya ātmatattvam', (which probably implies that Ananyānubhavānanda wrote a work called *Ātmatattva*), prior to Jñānaghana." Therefore Jñānaghana was a contemporary of Prakāšātma Yati, who was a disciple of Ananyānubhava guru. But the Sringeri list gives early dates for Bodhaghana and Jñanaghana.

Sankara	-
Surēśvara	(\$. 695=777 A.D.?)
Nityabōdhaghana	773-848 A.D.
Jñānaghana	848-910 A.D.
Jñanöttama Siva	910-953 A.D.
Jñānagiri	953-1038 A.D.
Nṛsiṃhagiri N	1038-1107 A.D.
Īśvaratīrtha	1098-1146 A.D.
Nṛsimhatirtha	1146-1228 A.D.
Vidyātīrtha	1228-1333 A.D.
Bhāratī Kṛṣṇatīrtha	1333-1380 A.D.
Vidyāraņya	1380-1386 D.D.

Tattvasamgraha, GOS., Intro.

8 वंदे तमात्मसंबुद्धस्फुरद्वह्यावबोधतः । त्रर्थतोपि न नाम्नैव योऽनन्यानुभवो गुरुः ॥ Pañcapādikā Vivarana.

शश्रद्बोधधनस्य यस्य गुरवे तस्मै नमः श्रेयसे ॥ 9

If we can safely accept these dates, Jñanaghana must be placed before 910 A.D. Therefore Ananyanubhava's date also talls in the 9th century and his disciple, the Vivaranakāra must be a contemporary of Jñanaghana. The Vallala-carita says that one Bhatta Simhagiri became the preceptor of Ballalasena and that for this Mahārāja-guru a Math was constructed at Pradyumnēśvara. Mr. J. C. Ghosh<sup>10</sup> thinks that this Simhagiri is the same as Gaudēśvarācārya. But in the Sringēri list Jñānottama Siva was the successor of Jñanaghana and is placed between 910 and 953 A.D. But the Bhatta Simhagiri is either Nrsimha or Simhagiri (1038-1107 A.D.) or Nrsimhatirtha (1146-1228 A.D.) most probably the latter, who was the contemporary of Ballalasena (c. 1150 A.D.). Therefore it is not possible that Simhagiri was also known as Gaudēśvarācārya. If Jñānottama Siva is the same as Jñānottama Gaudēsvarācārya, he must be the contemporary of some ruler of Bengal in the 10th century.

Moreover, there seem to be two Jñānottamas—one a native of the Chola country who wrote commentaries on the Naiṣkarmya and Iṣṭasiddhi granthas, and the other Gaudēśvarācārya who is the author of Nyāyasudhā, Jñānasiddhi and Jñānasudhā. The first Jñānottama, of the Cola country was not an ascetic and mentions only his father as his preceptor. Also he had the appellation 'miśra', showing his connection with Bengal. The guru of Citsukha on the other hand was probably known as Satyānanda also'! Another disciple of Jñānottama was Vijñānātman.¹²

इह खलु निखिलोपनिषत्कदम्बकतात्पर्यपर्यालोचनापरिनिश्चितम् अनन्यानुभवानंदा-द्वितीयात्मतत्त्वम् अधिकृत्य केषु चिदर्थेषु तत्त्वं परिशोधनं विधीयते—Tattvaśuddhi of Jñanaghana (Mad. Uni. Journal, 1937).

10 IHQ., Dec. 1937.

11

ज्योतिर्यहित्त्रणामूर्तिर्व्यासशंकरशब्दितम् । **ज्ञानोत्तमा**ख्यं तद्वंदे सत्यानंदपदोत्त्थितम् ॥

(Bhāṣyabhāva Prakāśikā of Citsukha).

12 Svētasvataropanisadbhāsyatikā of Vijnanatman.

Citsukha is the author of *Bhāṣyabhāva Prakāśikā* and *Tattava-pradīpikā*. His disciple was Sukha-prakāśa the author of *Adhikaraṇa-ratnamālā* and *Tattvapradīpikā-Vyākhyā*. Sukha Prakāśa's disciple Amalānanda is the author of *Kalpataru* (1247-1260 A.D.). Another disciple of Sukha Prakāśa was Ānanda Jñāna, the author of *Tarkasaṃgraha*(?). The date of Citsukha is therefore *c*. 1200 A.D. and that of his guru is probably *c*. 1180 A.D.

If we investigate the inscriptions at Simhācalam and Śrikūrmam, we have—

Narasimhamahāmuni S. 1152, 1168.

Naraharitīrtha Srīpāda (Mādhva) S. 1186, 1193, 1200, 1213, 1214, and 1215.

Narasimha Bhārati S. 1278, 1280, 1281, 1283.

Jagannāthatīrtha Śripāda Ś. 1295.

Vāsudēva Bhārati (disciple of Narasimha Bhārati) \$. 1310.

Rāghava Bhārati (disciple of Vāsudēva) Ś. 1312.

Narasimha mahāmuni is no other than Citsukha Bhaṭṭāraka of the inscription of \$. 1142, (1220 A.D.). The inscriptions also mention a Vāsudēva Yati in 1255 A.D. and a Narasimha Bhaṭṭō-pādhyāya—a family man in 1283 A.D. A Citsukha Sāmayājin is mentioned in the years 1266 and 1284 A.D. therefore there were at least two Citsukhas separated from each other by an interval of about half a century.

Sukhaprakāśa the disciple of Citsukha I, was also a pupil under Ānandātman. Amalānanda in his *Kalpataru* says that Sukhaprakāśa was his vidyāguru, and his dīksāguru was Svayamprabha Anubhavānanda<sup>16</sup> (probably identical with Ānandānubhava) whose

```
13 Kalpataru, सुखप्रकाशयतिनं तं नौमि विद्यागुरुम् ॥
```

<sup>14</sup> SII., V and VI.

<sup>15</sup> MER., 134 and 365 of 1899.

<sup>16</sup> स्वयंप्रभसुखं ब्रह्म द्यारचितविष्रहम् । यथार्थानुभवानंदपदगीतं गुरुं नमः ॥—Kalpataru.

guru was Ānadātma Yati. Tānandānubhava was the pupil of Nārāyaṇa Jyōtisa and wrote a commentary on the *Iṣṭasiddhi* and *Nyāyaratnadīpāvaļī*, and is later than Ānandabōdha the pupil of Ātmāvāsa and the author of *Nyāyamakaranda* and *Pramāṇamālā*.

Another disciple of Ānandātman was Sankarānanda<sup>18</sup> who wrote Dīpikās on the Upaniṣad Bhāṣyas. Sankarānanda was the teacher of Bhāratīkṛṣṇa-tīrtha and Vidyāraṇya<sup>19</sup> Mr. Tripāṭhi<sup>20</sup> regarding Ānandagiri says that he is the same as Ānanda Jñāna and in his previous āśrama he was known as Janārdana and wrote *Tattvālōka*, under the guidance of Anubhūti Svarūpa. Later Ānandagiri became the disciple of Suddhānanda.<sup>21</sup> One Suddhānanda is mentioned along with Kaivalyānanda and Saccidānanda as guru of Svayamprakāśa who wrote commentaries on *Advaitanakaranda* of Lakṣmīdhara and on *Harimīdē stotra*. Svayamprakāśa s er than Vidyāraṇya and Bhōganātha, and must be assigned to the 16th century. Therefore this Suddhānanda is different from the guru of Ānandagiri.

Anandagiri is as we have seen, was also known as Janārdana in his previous āśrama. The son of Janārdana is Sarvajña Viṣṇubhaṭṭōpādhyāya the author of *Rjuvivaraṇa* on the *Pañcapādikā* 

```
18 मार्ङ्क्योपनिषद्वचास्यां करिष्ये पदचारिसीम् ।

स्वीकृत्रतां करिष्ये पदचारिसीम् ।

श्रोमात्माभेदसंबोधाद् आनंदात्मश्रकाशनीम् ॥

(Māṇḍয়kyōpaniṣadbhāṣya dīpikā)

19 नमः श्रीशंकरानंदगुरुपादांबुजन्मने ।

स विलासमहामोहश्राह्यासैककर्मसो ॥

(Pañcadaśi)

20 Introduction to Tarkasaṁgraba (G.O.S.).

21 शुद्धानंद पदाम्भोज द्वंद्वमद्वंद्वतास्पदम् ।

(Māndūkyōpaniṣadbhāṣyatīkā).
```

vivaraṇa.<sup>22</sup> This Sarvajña Viṣṇu calls himself a disciple of Indrapurṇa Pūjyapāda, (who was probably a pontiff of the Kāmakōṭipītḥa). Now the great Vidyāśaṅkara was the son of Śāraṅgapāṇi and was born at Bilvāraṇya. In his previous āśrama he was known as Sarvajña Viṣṇu²³ and was initiated by Candraśēkhara according to the Kāmakōṭipītḥa tradition. If Śāraṅgapāṇi and Janārdana are the same, the author of Rju-vivaraṇa is no other than the great Vidyāśaṅkara. Vidyātīrtha in his Rudrapraśnabhāṣya however mentions Paramātmatīrtha as his guru, while the Śriṅgēri list makes him the successor of Narasiṃhatīrtha. But it is not improbabale that Vidyaśaṅkara studied under more than one teacher. Śāyaṇa in his Śāṅkara Darśana mentions Sarvajña Viṣṇubhaṭṭōpādhyāya as the author of Vivaraṇa on the Vivaraṇa (evidently Rjuvivaraṇa).²⁴ Mr. R. Nara siṃhācārya thought that Sarvajña Viṣṇubhaṭṭa is the father of Sarvajña and Cennubhatta.

We have to distinguish this Ānandagiri from a later Ānanda of Anantānandagiri. Lakṣmīdhara the author of Advaitamakaranda (on which Svayamprakāśa wrote a commentary) says that his guru was Anantānanda. This Brahmavid Lakṣmīdhara is probabaly identical with the patron of the Kannada poet Madhura in the time of Deva Rāya I (1406 A.D.). Lakṣmīdhara was the son of Singaļā, the sister of Vidyāraṇya, Sāyaṇa and Bhōganātha. Therefore

```
22 स्वामीन्द्रपूर्णपूज्यपादशिष्यसर्वशास्त्रविशारदजनार्द्रनात्मजसर्वज्ञविष्णुभद्दोपाध्यायकृतौ...
ऋजुविवर्णो.....
```

23 बिल्वार्ग्यजशाईपाणितनयः सर्वज्ञविष्णुः श्रयन् सन्यासं गुरुचंद्रशेखरमुनेरास्थाय पीठं गुरोः । योगेशस्य च चक्रराजवसतेर्देव्याश्च सक्तोर्चने श्रीमन्माधवबुक्रभारतियतिप्रष्ठैर्महिष्ठेर्युतः ॥

24 IA., 1916.

25 कटा त्तिक्रिंगाचांतनमन्मोहाब्थये नमः ।
अनंतानंदकृष्णाय जगन्मंगलमूर्तये ॥

Advaita Makaranda

26 Ar. S. India, Rep. 1907-8. SII., IV, p. 267.

Anantānandagiri must be placed in c. 1380 A.D. Several works attributed to this Ānandagiri like *Praśnabhāṣyaṭīkā*, Aitareyō-paniṣadbhāṣyaṭīkā, Saṅkaravijaya quote from the works of Saṅkarānanda, Sāyaṇa, and Bhōganātha.

A pupil of Ānandagiri I was, according to Mr. Tripāṭhi,<sup>27</sup> Akhaṇḍānanda the author of *Tattvadīpana* and *Rjuprakāśikā* the *Tattvadīpana* mentions katnācala (giri?), his disciple Bōdhapṛthvīdhara (giri) and then salutes Ānanda Śaila (giri). But in the colophon Akhaṇḍānanda calls himself the disciple of Akhaṇḍānubhūti. The author of *Rjuprakāśikā* says that he was the pupil of Svayamprakāśa. He belonged to the Nalagantu Vaṃśa, and his parents were Kāļahastyadhvarī and Yajñāmbā. His previous name was Raṅganātha. At the request of Imaḍi Jagadēkarāya, he wrote *Rjuprakāśikā*. This Immaḍi Jagadēkarāya was a chief of Chennapatna near Bangalore (c. 1600 A.D.).<sup>28</sup> Therefore his guru Svayamprākāśa must be placed in c. 1580 A.D. Therefore Mr. Tripātḥi's contention that Akhandānanda or Akhaṇḍānubhūti, the author of *Tattvadīpana* was a pupil, of Ānandagiri I is wrong.

The father of Akhaṇḍa Yati, Kāļahastyadhvari is the author of Ratnakōśaprakāśikā and he is probably identical with Kāļahasti. yajvan who wrote a commentary on the Bhēdidhikkāra of Nṛṣimhāśramin. and calls himself the disciple of Raghunāthāśramin. Akhaṇḍānanda also wrote a commentary on Govardhana's commentary on the Tarkabhāṣā of Kēśavamiśra. Govardhana's date is c. 1560 A.D. Svayamprakāśānanda, the guru of Akhaṇḍa Yati was also the guru of Mahādēva Sarasvatī, the author of Tattvānusandbāna.

<sup>27</sup> Tarkasamgraba G.S.O. Introduction.

<sup>28</sup> Vijayanagar Comm. Vol., p. 323.

<sup>20</sup> Bheda-dhikkāra. Mad. Uni. Series, Intro.

<sup>30</sup> Tarkabhāsā of Kēśavamiśra.

